Application Serial No.: 10/574,866 Docket No.: GIV.P30095

Applicant: Paul Brian KISER

Response to Office Action mailed: November 18, 2009

Response Filed: December 9, 2009

REMARKS

United States Serial No. 10/574,866 is a national stage application of International

Application No. PCT/CH2004/000622, filed October 13, 2004, which claims the benefit

of U.S. Serial No. 60/511,539, filed October 15, 2003. The date of entry into the national

stage of United States Serial No. 10/574,866 is April 6, 2006. A preliminary amendment

was also filed on April 6, 2006 upon entering the national stage. The 371(c) date (date of

date of receipt of the 35 U.S.C. §371(c)(1), (c)(2) and (c)(4) requirements and date of

completion of all 35 U.S.C. §371 requirements) is October 18, 2006.

Claims 1-6 are pending. An Office Action mailed July 21, 2009 subjected claims

1-6 to a restriction requirement. Applicant filed a response to this restriction requirement

which included an election of claims to be examined for prosecution and traversing

arguments on August 19, 2009. In view of Applicant's August 19, 2009 response, the

Office vacated the restriction requirement of July 21, 2009 and issued a new restriction

requirement on November 18, 2009. In response, Applicant has made the necessary

election along with traversing arguments in response to the restriction requirement of

November 18, 2009, discussed in detail below. In view of the traversing arguments set

forth herein. Applicant respectfully requests that alleged Groups 1 and II within claims 1-

6 be rejoined and allowed.

2

Application Serial No.: 10/574,866 Docket No.: GIV.P30095

Applicant: Paul Brian KISER

Response to Office Action mailed: November 18, 2009

Response Filed: December 9, 2009

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In the Office Action mailed November 18, 2009, the Examiner required Applicant

to elect one of the following Groups based on 35 U.S.C. §121, §372, and PCT Rule 13.1:

Group I (claims 1-3): drawn to a method of preparing a foodstuff including a

coating step.

Group II (claims 4-6): drawn to a container capable of being sealed and capable

of containing hot cooked food, which has a coating thereon.

In response to the restriction requirement, Applicant elects to prosecute the claims

of Group I, drawn to a method of preparing a foodstuff (claims 1-3), and traverses the

restriction for the reasons set forth below.

The Office Action's position is that the claims of Groups I and II are not so linked

as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 in that the alleged

technical feature linking the claims of Group I and II do not provide a contribution over

the prior art as evidenced by Bilmers (EP 815,741), Vickers et al. (US 5,192,567), LaBaw

et al. (US 4,904,487) and Ludwig (EP 988,798).

Applicant respectfully submits that the special technical feature linking the claims

of Group I and II which provides a contribution over the prior art will be clarified during

prosecution of the present application on the merits.

3

Application Serial No.: 10/574.866 Docket No.: GIV.P30095

Applicant: Paul Brian KISER

Response to Office Action mailed: November 18, 2009

Response Filed: December 9, 2009

Nonetheless, Applicant traverses the restriction requirement on grounds that the

claims of Groups I and II would not pose an additional search burden on the Office. In

this regard, the claims of Group I (specifically claim 1) relate to a method for preparing a

foodstuff wherein a seasoning mixture is applied to a cooked foodstuff. The scasoning

mixture comprises seasonings and a granular edible substance that will form an

essentially continuous coating on the surface of the foodstuff, at the temperature of the

cooked foodstuff, which coating is no longer fluid at the temperature at which the

foodstuff will be consumed. The claims of Group II (specifically claim 4) also relate to

the seasoning mixture as recited in Group I wherein the seasoning mixture is coated on at

least one inner surface of a sealable container for containing a hot, cooked foodstuff.

In this regard, Applicant respectfully submits that a search of seasoning mixtures

for a foodstuff would inherently include methods of preparing a foodstuff and containers

which may be used in the preparation of such foodstuffs. Thus, a search in the art of

seasoning mixtures would pose no additional search burden on the Office in that the

method of Group I and the container for carrying out the method of Group II both relate

to food preparation using scasoning mixtures.

Furthermore, Applicant respectfully submits that the Office Action fails to explain

why there would be a serious search burden on the Office if restriction is not required. In

this regard, it is respectfully submitted that the Office Action fails to allege that the

claims of Group I and II have obtained a separate classification or status in the art or

require a different field of search.

4

Docket No.: GIV.P30095 Application Serial No.: 10/574,866

Applicant: Paul Brian KISER

Customer No. 23575

Response to Office Action mailed: November 18, 2009

Response Filed: December 9, 2009

In view of the above remarks, Applicant respectfully requests a withdrawal of the restriction requirement between Groups I and Group II, and requests the issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance directed to claims 1-6.

Should the Examiner have any questions about the above remarks, the undersigned attorneys would welcome a telephone call.

Respectfully submitted.

Joseph G. Curatolo, Esq. (Reg. No. 28,837) Peter R. Detorre, Esq. (Reg. No. 61,801)

Curatolo Sidoti Co., LPA

24500 Center Ridge Road, Suite 280

Cleveland, OH 44145 Telephone: 440.808.0011 Facsimile: 440,808,0657 Attorneys for Applicant

December 9, 2009